

SHOWERS OF ORGANIC MATTER.

By WALDO L. MCATEE, Assistant Biologist.

[Address: U. S. Bureau of Biological Survey, Washington, D. C.]

[Paper presented to the Biological Society of Washington, Jan. 27, 1917.]

CONTENTS.

INTRODUCTION.....	217
SPURIOUS SHOWERS—	
Insect larvæ.....	218
Ants.....	218
Honey; sugar.....	218
Grain.....	218
Black rain.....	218
"Blood" rain.....	218
Manna.....	218
TRUE SHOWERS—	
Red rains; dust.....	218
Plants and invertebrates—	
Pollen; "sulphur" rain.....	219
Hay.....	219
Wheat.....	220
Meteoric "paper".....	220
Jelly; "flesh".....	220
Insects.....	220
Molluscs.....	20
Vertebrates—	
Frogs; toads.....	221
Fish.....	221
Other vertebrates.....	223
WIND AS A DISTRIBUTING AGENT—	
Vertebrates.....	223
Plant seeds.....	223
Spores, statoblasts, eggs.....	223
CONCLUSION.....	224

INTRODUCTION.

The idea of organic matter and particularly of living things raining down from the sky, on first thought, is hard to entertain. There have been recorded in all periods of historic time, however, showers of one kind or another of animals and plants or their products—showers of hay, of grain, of manna, of blood, of fishes, of frogs, and even of rats. In ages past, these phenomena, actual or supposed, were all given supernatural significance; the blood rains terrorized the people, the manna rains inspired prayers of thanks—they were miracles. In latter days, the tendency among intellectuals who have given the matter no particular attention, has been to assume that since preternatural explanations had usually been invoked (and they certainly were incredible) therefore the showers themselves probably never occurred.

However, so many wonderful things occur in nature that negation of any observation is dangerous; it is better to preserve a judicial attitude and regard all [authentic] information that comes to hand as so much evidence, some of it supporting one side, some the other, of a given problem.

The evidence that counts the most is that which comes from those we have learned to respect and trust. I may say that two small bits of testimony as to living things falling in rain, given me by my father, and by my friend, Mr. A. N. Caudell, of the United States Bureau of Entomology, did more than all I had ever read to arouse my interest in the phenomena of organic showers. Mr. Caudell relates that at his former home in Oklahoma, on one occasion after a brief shower during an otherwise dry and hot period, numerous earthworms were found on the seat of an open buggy standing in the yard. Mr. Caudell's mother was reminded by this occurrence that years before, in their former home in Indiana, a live minnow was found after a rain in water held by the hollow in the top of a chopping block. The experience of my father that bears on this subject is that when in

North Dakota some years ago on coming indoors during a rain he found several earthworms on the brim of his hat. Here are facts vouched for by persons in whom I have every confidence, proving to a certainty that living animals do rain down.

How potent are such small phenomena, once fixed in the mind as well authenticated, to give one faith in the larger ones; but, on the other hand, how important is the conviction that some extensive, some really great happening of the same class really has occurred. When, therefore, I came upon the statement by the famous French scientist, Francis Castlenau, that he had seen fishes rain down in Singapore in such numbers that the natives went about picking them up by the basketful, I was ready to believe almost all the tales, both great and small, relating to showers of organisms.

And why should we not believe them? Surely not from any doubt as to the capacity of the wind to lift up, to transport, and to drop again, at more or less distant places, objects of the character and size usually mentioned as falling in organic showers. All strong winds have some lifting powers; we see papers carried into the air, blown hither and thither, and sometimes carried for long distances. Sheets of paper have been identified as falling at places 20 to 50 miles distant from their starting point. Through experiences, sometimes saddening ones, most of us have learned that the wind can very dexterously lift and transport such objects as hats, and I have known of a silk hat being taken from a dignified gentleman's head as he was walking in front of the Post Office Department in Washington and carried up, up, and away over the Star Newspaper Building (10 stories high). In the same city during the thunder squall of July 31, 1913, tin roofs were torn from many houses and blown into the streets.

These are things which straight blowing winds can do, but when winds begin to whirl, their lifting and carrying capacity increases enormously. The little dust whirls we see seem inoffensive things, but they have surprising power. I saw one travel down a row of shocks in a cornfield, lift every one of them, and scatter the stalks to the four quarters, doing in a minute work it would take a man a day to do or undo. Of course this whirl was larger than those we frequently see on hot summer days, but whirlwinds, waterspouts, dust storms, and tornadoes are essentially the same thing differing principally in dimensions. Wind whirls which may be said to be practically artificial in origin develop surprising power. Thus Theodore Dwight, of Stockbridge, Mass., states¹ that those created by the burning of wood piled in a clearing had sufficient force to lift trees 6 to 8 inches in diameter to a height of 40 to 50 feet.

All wind whirls are characterized by a more or less strong inflow of air along the surface from all directions to the base of the whirl, where the inflowing currents ascend. The gyratory velocity of a tornado may be as much as 310 miles per hour. This would give at the earth's surface an effective force in moving an object of about 300 pounds² for each square foot of surface exposed to the wind. The velocity of the ascending currents also runs high, but if put at 176 miles per hour would yield a lifting power of more than 90 pounds to the square foot. That these forces are actually exerted is shown by some of the remarkable doings of tornadoes. By the tornado at Beauregard, Miss., April 22, 1883, the solid iron screw of a cotton press, weighing 675 pounds,

¹ Tomlinson, Chas. The tempest, pp. 117-118.

² Ferrel, Wm. A popular treatise on the winds. New York, 1889. pp. 377-378.

was carried 900 feet. During the tornado of April 16, 1875, at Walterborough, S. C., a piece of timber 6 inches square and 40 feet long, weighing 600 pounds, was carried a distance of 440 yards, and a chicken coop, 4 by 4 feet and 75 pounds in weight, was transported 4 miles. In the tornado at Mount Carmel, Ill., June 4, 1877, a piece of tin roof was carried 15 miles and a church spire 17 miles.

These examples are quite as marvelous as some of the seemingly miraculous showers recorded of old. The children of Israel believed in their manna because they gathered it with their own hands and ate of it, but surely their credulity would not have stood the test had some prophet told them that in years to come, in a land across the sea, chickencoops and church spires would rain down from the skies.

There is then, we must admit, no reason for general suspicion toward the accounts of organic showers. Like other records, they must be inspected and the good sifted from the mass. We may separate at once certain classes of alleged organic showers as spurious.

SPURIOUS SHOWERS.

Insect larvæ.—The rains of insect larvæ that have been investigated have proved to be merely the appearance in large numbers on the surface of the ground or upon snow of the larvæ of soldier beetles (*Telephorus*), or sometimes caterpillars, which have been driven from their hibernating quarters by the saturation of the soil by heavy rains or melting snow.

Ants.—Accounts of showers of ants have usually been founded on incursions of large numbers of winged ants, which of course need no assistance from the elements to follow out their habit of swarming forth periodically in immense numbers.

Honey; sugar.—Showers of honey and of sugar are popular names for what scientists know are exudations of certain plants, or of plant lice which feed on a great variety of plants and whose product is often known also as honey-dew.

Grains.—Showers of grain, usually considered miraculous, have in most cases been determined to be merely the accumulation by washing during heavy rains of either the seeds or root tubercles of plants of the immediate neighborhood.

Black rain.—Black rain is due to the precipitation from the atmosphere by falling water of soot, or in some cases of black dust. These showers are of interest, however, as illustrating the carrying power of the wind; a rain of soot observed in Ireland and over the Atlantic Ocean to the westward is pretty definitely known to have been carried by the wind from Wales.³ The showers of mud resulting from the precipitation of dark-colored dust or dirt are closely related to the organic showers discussed further on, as the material must have been derived from the earth's surface, transported and deposited in the same way, and in fact it is probable that all such rains bring with them some proportion of small organisms. In the case of a black snow, observed in New York in 1889, it was found that the color was due to "finely divided earth and vegetable mould."⁴ In this case it is certain that small organisms were included among the débris, for it would be impossible for the wind to sweep up enough vegetable mould to discolor a snow-fall without at the same time taking up a considerable number of spores, seeds, fruits, and small animals.

Blood rains.—The most frequently reported showers that are spurious, at least in name, are the so-called blood rains. In all times the phenomena going under this name have frightened the people and have been taken as portents of terrific calamities. One of the famous plagues of Egypt was a bloody rain which prevailed throughout the whole land, continuing three days and three nights. Homer and Virgil both allude to blood rains, and, in fact, the general subject of preternatural rains was a favorite with the older writers.

But scientific investigation has done away with the element of mystery in these phenomena and has explained, with the others, the rains of blood. Some blood rains have been found to be the meconial fluid ejected by large numbers of certain lepidoptera simultaneously emerging from their chrysalides; other red rains are due to the rapid multiplication in rain pools of algæ and of rotifers containing red coloring matter; "red snow" results from the presence of similar organisms. But in no case have they rained down, except in the sense that their spores or eggs have at some time been transported, probably by the wind. The precipitation of moisture furnishes favorable conditions for their rapid development and multiplication.

There are several summaries of information relating to the anciently recorded showers of miscellaneous matter. Among them is that of Valentin Alberti, "Dissertatio historica physica de Pluvia prodigiosa", Leipzig, 1674; one by P. J. Hartmann, published as an appendix to the *Miscellanea Curiosa* * * * *Academiae Imperialis Leopoldinae* * * * Jena, 1689; another by J. C. Haebler, entitled "Dissertatio de pluviis prodigiis," published at Erfurt in 1695, and also one by C. G. Ehrenberg in 1847 (*Abh. Kgl. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin.*).

For modern bibliographies covering the subject of organic showers, see: Fassig, O. L., *Bibliography of Meteorology*, United States Signal Service, *Showers of Miscellaneous Matter*, Part II, 1889, pages 367-391, and Stuntz, S. C., & Free, E. E., *Bibliography of Eolian Geology*, Bulletin 68, United States Bureau of Soils, 1911, pages 174-263.

Manna.—An account of manna "rains" certainly pertains to the discussion of showers of vegetable matter, for the substance manna consists of lichens of the genus *Lecanora*, but in none of the numerous recorded instances of manna "rains" is there any direct evidence that the substance really fell from the sky. These lichens form, small, round bodies that are easily blown over the surface of the ground and accumulate in depressions; they are very buoyant also and hence easily drifted into masses during the run-off of rain water. Manna "rains" have not occurred except in countries where these lichens are common, and as for statements of their falling down upon roofs or upon people, or for any other proofs that they really rained down, I have seen none.

TRUE SHOWERS.

Red rains; dust.—Other red rains are caused by the bringing down in rain water of atmospheric dust of a reddish color. This hue usually is noticed in rain falling in southern Europe at a time when the air is charged with sirocco dust. The composition of this dust has been extensively investigated and it has been found to contain spores, pollen grains, confervoid algæ, diatoms, infusoria, and rotifers. In 50 samples of sirocco dust from various parts of Italy pollen, spores, etc., were found in every one.⁵ In sirocco dust collected at Lyons, Ehrenberg claims to have found 111 different species of infusoria, and the total number of organisms enumerated by him from samples of such dust is 320.⁶ In the Lyons instance organic forms made up one-eighth of the entire mass of the dust. Since various estimates place the

³Symons's met. mag., February, 1908, 43: 2-4.

⁴MONTHLY WEATHER REVIEW, October, 1901, 29: 465-466.

⁵Tacchini, P. Ann., Met. Ital., 1879, pt. 1, pp. 63-115.

⁶Ehrenberg, C. G. Fasset-staub und Blut-regen. Abh., Preuss. Akad., 1847.

amount of sirocco dust in a fall at from 5½ to 9 tons to the square mile, it will be seen that a fall of a ton of microscopic organisms per square mile is within the bounds of possibility.

It is not only the hot and dry sirocco that is laden with dust containing organisms, for indeed they are in the air everywhere at all times. The researches of MM. Miquel⁷ and Boudier⁸ in France, particularly have elucidated the nature of atmospheric dust. The atmosphere always is charged with a large number of organic entities. The vegetable constituents are chiefly bacilli and the spores of cryptogams, as of fungi, lichens, mosses, and algae. There are also hairs of plants, fibers of cotton, flax, and hemp, pollens of every form, and starch grains. The animal remains include epithelial cells, hairs, shreds of feathers, bits of down and wool, scales of lepidoptera, and the eggs of infusoria. The quantity of suspended matter in the air is high in summer and low in winter, and less at high altitudes than in lower areas nearer the source of the bodies found.

Special forms of aeroscopes have been devised to collect samples of atmospheric dust. In one form described by Mr. Hubert Airy,⁹ were caught in the city of London, the following things additional to those just named: Living mites, entomostraca, and diatoms.

It appears, therefore, that a great variety of small organisms or their spores are present in the air at all times, that they are freely carried about by the winds, and are constantly being precipitated either in dust or in falling moisture. The possibilities for the distribution of these minute forms are practically unlimited, for dust clouds travel indefinite distances. In the United States a dust storm and mud shower was observed on the same day in Illinois, New York, Pennsylvania, and New Jersey.¹⁰ This shows transport of the material over a third of the breadth of the United States, if indeed all of it did not come from the western plains. A dust cloud a thousand, perhaps two thousand miles in length was observed at sea by J. Milne¹¹ when 200 to 400 miles distant from the coast of China, from whose loess plains it was probably derived. This dust contained shreds of plants. At times of great volcanic activity, dust clouds have encircled the world. There is, therefore, no limit to the distribution of atmospheric dust, and therefore probably none to that of the minute organisms that are one of its constant components.

Showers of plants and invertebrates.

Pollen falls, sulphur rains.—Pollen of various plants, as previously noted, is one of the most common constituents of atmospheric dust; for instance, Miquel found that there are often a thousand pollen grains to each cubic meter of air.¹² But pollen deserves more extended notice because it is really showers of pollen that have been so often reported as showers of sulphur. The yellow color suggested sulphur; pollen, especially of pine, is highly inflammable, the imagination supplied the smell of brimstone, and superstition jumped at the conclusion that the devil had been busy. The occasional phosphorescent appearance of pollen falls at night also has encouraged preternatural speculations.

The following is extracted from an account of a pollen shower in England¹³ in early June, a fall of fine yellow dust which coated the surface of rain water in barrels and pools, was taken by the uneducated for a fall of sulphur. It was said by the imaginative to smell "awful like brimstone" and to presage the end of the world. Examination of the dust under a microscope at once showed it to be the pollen of pine. Another writer adds:¹⁴

As this mystery, if it is not explained, may prove serious to the nervous, superstitious, or credulous part of the community, we may as well add that at this season districts in the neighborhood of fir (*Pinus sylvestris*) plantations run the risk of a thorough dusting of this powder if there is the slightest breeze, as the cones of the young Scots fir are thickly coated with yellow powder or pollen, which will give out a blinding saffron cloud on the slightest disturbance.

The appearance of a conspicuous movement of pollen has been well described by Dr. D. P. Thomson.¹⁵

On the afternoon of June 11, 1847, the wooded part of Morayshire appeared to smoke, and for a time fears were entertained that the fir plantations were on fire. A smart breeze suddenly got up from the north and above the woods there appeared to rise about 50 columns of something resembling smoke, which was wreathed about like waterspouts. The atmosphere now calmed and the mystery was solved, for what seemed smoke was in reality the pollen of the woods.

The ease with which pollen is taken up into the air together with the prodigal profusion with which it is produced make it easy to understand the frequency of the so-called sulphur rains. In March, 1879, several instances of yellow rain or snow occurred in the United States. Prof. W. H. Chandler of Lehigh University, South Bethlehem, Pa., writes that during Saturday night, March 16, 1879, there was a slight fall of snow in that section, and on Monday morning when the snow had melted, a yellow deposit was found covering the ground more or less. Upon examining the deposit, it was found to be the pollen of pine trees. The United States Signal Corps observer at New Orleans, reports light showers on the 17th of the same month, and states that "a peculiar feature of the rain was its yellow color, which was due to large quantities of the pollen of the cypress tree floating in the atmosphere." The United States Signal Corps observer at Lynchburg, Va., forwarded on March 21, 1879, a sample of the yellow deposit which had fallen with the rain the preceding night and " * * * it was found to consist * * * entirely of the characteristic triple-grained pollen of the pine."¹⁶ A pollen shower at Pictou, Nova Scotia, in June, 1841, was so heavy that bucketfuls were swept up on a ship. This material was entirely the pollen of pine trees.¹⁷ As showing how far pollen may be transported by the wind, it is noted that "A shower of this kind fell at Lund at the south of Sweden, which M. Agardh (Nova Acta, 12) found to contain the pollen of *Pinus sylvestris* or Scotch fir, borne on the wind from a forest about 35 miles distant."¹⁸

Hay.—The vegetable substance, which, after pollen, figures most frequently in the accounts of actual showers of organic matter, is hay. This should not be surprising, since the material is comparatively light and is available at the time of year when wind whirls are most frequent. The first step in the development of a shower of hay was observed by Prof. F. E. Nipher,¹⁹ who describes a whirlwind that picked up hay and carried it in the form of an

⁷ Ann., L'Observatoire de Montsouris, 1879, pp. 431-512.

⁸ Journ. pharm. chim., 4e Ser., 1876, 23: 340-345.

⁹ Nature, 1874, 9: 439-40.

¹⁰ MONTHLY WEATHER REVIEW, May, 1902, 30: 269.

¹¹ Nature, June 9, 1892, 46: 128.

¹² The spores of cryptogams may be 20 times as numerous. It is stated that on Reunion Island the spores of *Lycopodium* sometimes are present in the air in such quantity as to make breathing difficult.

¹³ Carpenter, P. H. Pine-pollen mistaken for flowers of sulphur. Nature, June 26, 1879, 20: 195-196.

¹⁴ Wilson, A. Andrev. Nature, July 17, 1879, 20: 267.

¹⁵ Thomson, D. P. Introduction to meteorology. Edinburgh, &c., 1849, p. 151.

¹⁶ MONTHLY WEATHER REVIEW, March, 1879, p. 18.

¹⁷ Bailey, J. W., in Amer. jour. sci. and arts, 1842, 42: 195-197.

¹⁸ Thomson, D. P. Introduction to Meteorology, 1849, p. 151.

¹⁹ Nature, Sept. 11, 1879, 20: 456.

inverted cone about 200 feet high and 150 feet in diameter at the top. The whirl was followed for about half a mile when it disappeared over a hill. The complete phenomenon, on a small scale, is described as follows by Sir Francis Galton:²⁰

We had a curious sight * * * yesterday (July 26, 1891). It was a dead calm, but in a field just below the garden * * * the hay was whirled up high into the sky, a column connecting above and below, and in the course of the evening we found great patches of hay raining down all over the surrounding meadows and our garden. It kept falling quite four hours after the affair.

On June 30, 1892, a large quantity of hay was taken up by a whirlwind at Nether Priors, Essex, England, and fell at Belchamp, about 3 miles to the north.²¹ In two other cases noted, one in London,²² the other in Ireland,²³ the hay was seen floating at a great height in the atmosphere and then to fall.

Wheat.—In my introductory remarks I stated that most of the so-called showers of grain were spurious. However "in 1804 * * * a real rainfall of wheat took place in Andalusia, which had been carried by a hurricane across the Straits of Gibraltar, from a threshing floor at Tetuan."²⁴

Meteoric "paper."—A substance which has fallen from the sky, and has been called "meteoric paper," was proved in one case at least to be vegetable matter of terrestrial origin. Ehrenberg who investigated the case says²⁵ "On the 31st of January, 1687, a great mass of paper-like, black substance fell with a violent snow-storm * * * near the village of Rauden in Courland." Some of the substance was preserved and it was 152 years later that Ehrenberg examined it and found it to consist "of a compactly matted mass of *Conferva crispata*, traces of *Nostoc* and of about 29 * * * species of infusoria." This material was undoubtedly the crusts of dried algae which form on the surface of the ground exposed by the evaporation of the water of shallow ponds. This paper-like substance could easily be lifted up by the wind and carried a long distance.

Jelly or "flesh."—Manna is the bread of organic showers; but what is the meat? Showers of flesh have often been recorded and they have proved to be precipitations of a glairy substance, which upon partial drying formed enough of a skin on the outside to induce people to call it flesh. When found fresh, this material has been compared to butter. Probably most if not all of it is the material known as zooglea formed on the surface of water where bacteria are actively multiplying. The substance known as zoogen or zoiodin is probably the same. An extensive shower of such jelly-like material occurred in Bath County, Ky., in 1876, and was referred to as the dried spawn of fishes or of some batrachian.

Such spawn really has rained down also, if we may believe the account of M. Moreau de St. Mery, relating to an observation in San Domingo.²⁶

From November, 1785, to the 5th of May, 1786, there was experienced a terrible drought. The last day, viz, May 5, 1786, there fell during a strong east wind, in several parts of the city of Port au Prince * * * a great quantity of black eggs, which hatched the following day. M. Mozzard preserved about 50 of these small animals in a flask half full of water, where they shed their skins several times. They resembled tadpoles.

Other jelly rains have proved to consist of the egg masses of midges, and of colonies of infusoria. A shower

of the latter is described as follows by L. Jenyns²⁷ in his article on a so-called storm of insects at Bath, England:

There had been a sudden squall of wind before there came a heavy rain, and my idea is that these organisms must have been lifted up by the force of the wind, acting in a gyratory manner, from some shallow pool in the neighborhood. * * * A boy at the station first noticed them (that is, the spherical masses in which the organisms were grouped) falling on his coat * * *; as the rain fell more heavily the platform * * * was covered with them.

Insects.—The popular designation of these infusoria as insects of course was due to the very wide misuse of this term. I have noted previously that the alleged showers of insect larvæ also were not genuine, but there have been apparently a few real rains of insects. Two which occurred in Germany are described as follows:²⁸

At Szentes, August 14, between 9 and 10 p. m. a deep-black cloud suddenly appeared in the evening sky. Soon thereafter began a downpour, not of rain, but of winged insects, which in a few minutes covered the ground a foot deep. At St. Catherine a. d. Lamming (Obersteiermark), on the 10th and 11th of August, insect rains also occurred, which while not so remarkable, still were very annoying. The insects were in part small neuropteroids and in part winged ants.

Accounts of three other showers which have been gleaned from French publications are circumstantial, and clearly show sustained transport of insects by the wind and their falling from the skies after the manner of rain:

Toward the end of May, M. L. Audé, * * * while returning from Mortagne to Herbiers, was caught in a violent storm from the north-east which, during a heavy rain, covered his conveyance with a multitude of *Gryllus*. The wind was cold and the Orthoptera falling in the midst of the rain appeared lifeless. These * * * are all in the larval state and are *Gryllus domesticus* of authors.²⁹

Rey de Morande in describing a shower of insects and spiders in Haute-Savoie, says:³⁰

On the night of January 29-30 [1869?], about 4:30 a. m., with a violent gust of wind which soon ceased, snow fell until day, and in the morning there were found on this snow a large quantity of living larvæ. * * * (The temperature for some days before had been very low.) * * * They appeared to be, for the most part, larvæ of *Trogosita mauritanica*, which are common in old trees in the forests in southern France. There were found also larvæ of a little moth * * * probably *Sibia stagnicola*. This shower of insects and spiders at an altitude of 1,000 to 1,200 meters, can not be explained except by transportation by a violent wind from central or southern France. M. Tissot, * * * who observed the phenomenon, adds, that in November, 1854, several thousands of insects, mostly living, were thrown down by a violent wind in the vicinity of Turin. Some were larvæ and some adult and all appeared to be of a species of hemiptera that had never been collected except on the isle of Sardinia.

Molluscs.—Before leaving the consideration of invertebrates we may note that: "A shower of mussels, some weighting about 2 ounces, fell during a severe storm, on the 9th of August, 1834, in the United States."³¹ The following year another shower of molluscous animals, *Bulimus truncatus*, took place at Montpelier [France]."³²

Falls of vertebrate organisms.

The fall of vertebrate animals from the skies like rain is, of course, the most interesting of all the showers of organic matter, and—it must be admitted—the hardest to believe. Yet there cannot be the slightest doubt that there are genuine phenomena of this character, though perhaps not so numerous as the recorded instances. These occurrences, if observed by man, naturally make profound impressions and in the olden times especially, the tales of showers of fishes and the like were improved

²⁰ Nature, July 30, 1891, 44: 294.

²¹ Symons's met. mag., August, 1892, 32: 106-107.

²² Comptes rendus, 1861, 52: 108-109.

²³ Nature, 1875, 12: 279.

²⁴ Hartwig, G. The Aerial world, 1874, p. 194.

²⁵ Ann., Nat. Hist., 1839, 3: 185-186.

²⁶ Moreau de St. Mery. Descrip. de Saint Dominique, t. 2, p. 413, cited in P. H. Gosse, A Naturalist's sojourn in Jamaica, 1851, p. 430.

²⁷ Zoölogist, 1871, 6: 2286-7.

²⁸ Meteorol. Ztschr., Wien, 1901, 18: 426.

²⁹ Lucas, H. Bul., Soc. ent. France, 1858, p. xevi.

³⁰ Rey de Morande. Bul. hebdom., Assoc. sci. de France, 1869, 5: 242.

³¹ Pittsburgh Gazette.

³² Athen. No. 373, December, 1834, p. 923. *The last two citations from David Purdie Thomson, "Introduction to Meteorology," Ch. VIII, pp. 163-164.

by each teller, so that soon they reached the stage of the unbelievable.

Frogs, toads.—I quote only one of the older writers, Athenæus, who flourished about 200 A. D. He is the author of a polyhistorical work called the "Deipnosophists," in which he quotes about 800 authors, whose works he consulted at the Alexandrian Library, 700 of whom would have been unknown, except for the fortunate preservation of Athenæus' work. In a chapter entitled "De pluvius piscium," he says: ³³

I know also that it has very often rained fishes. At all events Phœnias, in the second book of his Eresian Magistrates, says that in the Chersonesus it once rained fish uninterruptedly for three days; and Phylarchus in his fourth book, says that people had often seen it raining fish, and often also raining wheat, and that the same thing had happened with respect to frogs. At all events Heraclides Lembus, in the 21st book of his history, says: "In Pœonia and Dardania, it has, they say, before now rained frogs; and so great has been the number of these frogs that the houses and the roads have been full with them; and at first for some days the inhabitants, endeavoring to kill them, and shutting up their houses endured the pest; but when they did no good, but found that all their vessels were filled with them, and the frogs were found to be boiled up and roasted with everything they ate, and when besides all this they could not make use of any water, nor put their feet on the ground for the heaps of frogs that were everywhere, and were annoyed also by the smell of those that died, they fled the country."

For numbers of frogs and the far reaching effects of their fall ³⁴ this tale can scarcely be surpassed, but it will be well to recount some later instances, especially some of the more circumstantial ones. Holinshed ³⁵ informs us that in Great Britain—

frogs fell in Angushire during the time of Agricola. Frogs were reported to have descended, during the summer of 1846 over the Humber, upon the decks of vessels in the river and on the coast near Killinghome lights.

A later account ³⁶ recites that—

During the storm that raged with considerable fury in Birmingham (England) on Wednesday morning, June 30 [1892], a shower of frogs fell in the suburb of Moseley. They were found scattered about several gardens. Almost white in color, they had evidently been absorbed in a small waterspout that was driven over Birmingham by the tempest.

Several notices have from time to time been brought before the French Academy of showers of frogs having fallen in different parts of France. M. Duparque ³⁷ states in a letter that—

In August, 1814, after several weeks of drouth and heat, a storm broke one Sunday about 3:30 p. m., upon the village of Fremont, a quarter league from Amiens. This storm was preceded by bursts of wind so violent that they shook the church and frightened the congregation. While traversing the space separating the church from presbytery, we were soaked, but what surprised me was to be struck on my person and my clothing by small frogs. * * *. A large number of these small animals hopped about on the ground. On arriving at the presbytery, we found the floor of one of the rooms in which a window facing the storm had been left open covered with water and frogs. * * *.

Showers of toads seem to be more common in some regions than those of frogs. I have seen accounts of 13 different occurrences of the kind in France. A French scientist M. Mauduy, curator of natural history at Poitiers, had personal experience with two such showers, which he narrates briefly as follows: ³⁸

On the 23d of June, 1809, during a hot spell, I was caught in a rain storm in which with the very large drops were mixed little bodies the

size of hazelnuts, which in a moment, covered the ground, and which I recognized as little toads. * * *. The second occasion, occurred in August, 1822, during a stormy and very hot period; I was again surprised by a heavy shower of large drops mixed, as was the other, with little toads, some of which fell on my hat. This time the animals were the size of walnuts. I found that I was more than a league distant from any brook, river, or marsh.

A considerable discussion of the subject of rains of toads was carried on in 1834 in the French scientific magazine from which I have quoted. I cite two more bits of testimony by eye witnesses, one of which has been widely reproduced.

M. Heard, writes: ³⁹

In June, 1833, I was at Jouy near Versaille. I saw toads falling from the sky; they struck my umbrella; I saw them hopping on the pavement, during about 10 minutes in which time the drops of water were not more numerous than the toads. The space upon which I saw the multitude of these animals was about 200 fathoms.

M. Peltier in his oft-copied statement says: ⁴⁰

In support of the communication of Col. Marmier, I cite an incident I observed in my youth; a storm advanced upon the little village of Ham, Department of the Somme, where I lived, and I observed its menacing march, when suddenly rain fell in torrents. I saw the village square covered everywhere with little toads. Astonished by this sight, I held out my hand and was struck by several of the reptiles. The dooryard also was covered; I saw them fall upon the slate roof and rebound to the pavement. * * *. Whatever the difficulty of explaining the transport of the reptiles, I affirm, without doubt the fact which made such a profound impression upon my memory.

The most remarkable account of a shower of toads, that I have seen, so far, is the following: ⁴¹

In the summer of 1794 M. Gayet was quartered in the village of Lalain, Department du Nord, * * * near the territory which the Austrians, then masters of Valenciennes, had flooded with water from the Scarpe. It was very hot. Suddenly, at about 3 o'clock in the afternoon, there fell such an abundance of rain that 150 men of the grand guard, in order not to be submerged, were obliged to leave a large depression in which they were hidden. But what was their surprise when there began to fall on the ground all about a considerable number of toads, the size of hazelnuts, which began to jump about in every direction. M. Gayet, who could not believe that these myriads of reptiles fell with the rain, stretched out his handkerchief at the height of a man, his comrades holding the corners; they caught a considerable number of toads, most of which had the posterior part elongated into a tail, that is to say, in the tadpole state. During this rain storm, which lasted about half an hour, the men of the grand guard felt very distinctly on their hats and on their clothing the blows struck by the falling toads. As a final proof of the reality of this phenomenon, M. Gayet reports that after the storm the three-cornered hats of the men of the guard held in their folds some of the reptiles.

Fish.—For reports of the falling of frogs and toads from the skies, we have been far afield, for the very good reason that I have not found any cases reported for the United States. But for fishes, there are several reports. Before giving these accounts, allow me to introduce a few statements that tend to show how fishes get started on the aerial journeys that terminate in fish rains.

To show the tremendous power of waterspouts, we may quote M. Oersted's declaration ⁴² that "At Christiansœ a waterspout emptied the harbor to such an extent that the greater part of the bottom was uncovered." Naturally under such circumstances fishes and any other organisms in the water may change their habitat very abruptly. Waterspouts have been observed to accomplish the comparatively insignificant tasks of emptying fish ponds and scattering their occupants.

A prodigy of this kind is recorded to have occurred in France, at a town some distance from Paris, during a violent storm. When morning dawned, the streets were found strewn with fish of various sizes.

³³ Athenæus. The deipnosophists or banquet of the learned (Transl. by C. D. Yonge 1854). Book XV, pt. 2, pp. 528-527.

³⁴ Is it not much more reasonable to conclude that the plague of frogs reported by Heraclides Lembus was due to a migration, rather than to a precipitation of the batrachians?—C. A., Jr.

³⁵ Chron., Vol. II, p. 59. Thomson, David Purdie, Introduction to Meteorology. Ch. VIII, pp. 164-165, 1849.

³⁶ Symons's met. mag., August, 1892, 32: 107.

³⁷ L'Institut, 1834, 2: 354.

³⁸ L'Institut, 1834, 2: 409-410.

³⁹ L'Institut, 1834, 2: 353.

⁴⁰ L'Institut, 1834, 2: 346-7.

⁴¹ L'Institut, 1834, 2: 354.

⁴² Tomlinson, Charles. The Tempest, pp. 136-137.

The mystery was soon solved, for a fish pond in the vicinity had been blown dry, and only the large fish left behind.⁴³

So, during a storm on December 28, 1845, at Bas-senthwaite, England, fish were blown from the lake to dry land.⁴⁴

Proceeding now to the United States records, Mr. Thomas R. Baker⁴⁵ states that—

During a recent thunderstorm at Winter Park, Fla., a number of fish fell with the rain. They were sunfish from 2 to 4 inches long. It is supposed that they were taken up by a waterspout from Lake Virginia, and carried westward by the strong wind that was blowing at the time. The distance from the lake to the place where they fell is about a mile.

In the MONTHLY WEATHER REVIEW for June, 1901 (p. 263), is the note "Mr. J. W. Gardner, voluntary observer at Tillers Ferry, S. C., reports that during a heavy local shower about June 27 [1901] there fell hundreds of little fish (cat, perch, trout, etc.) that were afterwards found swimming in the pools between the cotton rows."

In all, I am acquainted with four records of falls of fishes in the United States, two in South America, eight in Great Britain, two in France, and six in India and neighboring countries. These are all well vouched for, or fairly modern and circumstantially related instances. The older, chiefly traditional, records would make a long list.

One of the most ancient records of fish having fallen from the atmosphere in Great Britain is the following: About Easter, 1666, in the parish of Stanstead, which is a considerable distance from the sea, or any branch of it, and a place where there are no fish ponds, and rather scarcity of water, a pasture field was scattered all over with small fish, in quantity about a bushel, supposed to have been rained down from a cloud, there having been at the time a great tempest of thunder, rain, and wind. The fish were about the size of a man's little finger. Some were like small whittings, others like sprats, and some smaller, like smelts. Several of these fish were sold publicly at Maidstone and Dartford.⁴⁶ A shower of herrings is recorded to have taken place near to Loch Leven, in Kinross-shire, about the year 1825; the wind blew from the Frith of Forth at the time, and doubtless the fish had been thereby carried from the sea across Fifeshire to the place where they were found.⁴⁷ In 1828, similar fish fell in the county of Ross, 3 miles distant from the Frith of Dingwall.⁴⁸ On the 9th of March, 1830, in the Isle of Ula, in Argyleshire, after a heavy rain, numbers of small herrings were found scattered over the fields; they were perfectly fresh, and some not quite dead. On the 30th of June, 1841, a fish measuring 10 inches in length, with others of smaller size, fell at Boston; and during a thunderstorm, on the 8th of July, in the same year, fish and ice fell together at Derby.⁴⁹

A convincing statement of personal experience with a rain of fishes is that of John Lewis, of Abderdare, who says that while working, February 9:

I was startled by something falling all over me—down my neck, on my head, and on my back. On putting my hand down my neck I was surprised to find they were little fish. By this time I saw the whole ground covered with them. I took off my hat, the brim of which was full of them. * * * They covered the ground in a long strip about 80 yards by 12 yards, as we measured afterwards. * * * We gathered a great many of them * * * and threw them into the rain pool, where some of them now are. * * * It was not blowing very hard, but uncommon wet. * * * The person who took this testimony adds that he secured about 20 of the little fish, some of which were 4 and 5 inches long. A number of these fishes were exhibited for several weeks in the aquaria house of the Zoological Society in the Regent's Park, London.⁵⁰

The accounts of rains of fishes in South America are by Alexander von Humboldt,⁵¹ whose language relating to them is as follows:

⁴³ Reuss' Cyclopaedia.

⁴⁴ Thomson, D. P. Introduction to Meteorology, 1849, pp. 163-164.

⁴⁵ Science, June 16, 1893, 21: 435.

⁴⁶ Hasted. History of Kent, cited by Thomson.

⁴⁷ Ed., Phil. Journ., 1826; cited by Thomson.

⁴⁸ Inverness Courier, April, 1828; cited by Thomson.

⁴⁹ Thomson, D. P. Introduction to Meteorology, 1849, p. 163.

⁵⁰ Tomlinson, Charles. The Rain-cloud and the Snow-storm, pp. 193-194.

⁵¹ Humboldt, Alexander von. On the constitution and mode of action of volcanoes in different parts of the earth. Ann. Phil., 1822, 22 (N. S., 6): 130.

When the earthquakes, which precede every eruption in the chain of the Andes, shake with mighty force the entire mass of the volcano, the subterranean vaults are opened and emit at the same time water, fishes, and tufa-mud. This is the singular phenomenon that furnishes the fish *Pimelodes cycloptum*, which the inhabitants of the highlands of Quito call "Prenadilla," and which was described by me soon after my return. When the summit of the mountain Carguairazo, to the north of Chimborazo and 18,000 feet high, fell, in the night between the 19th and 20th of June, 1698, the surrounding fields, to the extent of about 43 English square miles, were covered with mud and fishes. The fever which raged in the town of Ibarra seven years before had been ascribed to a similar eruption of fishes from the volcano Imbaburu.

There are several well authenticated reports of falls of fish in India, and this has given rise to the belief that the phenomenon is more frequent there than elsewhere. This may be true on account of the favoring circumstances of extensive river flood plains, numerous shallow water tanks, a fish fauna rich in shoal water forms, and a hot, whirlwind-breeding climate. Certainly the descriptions of fish rains in that part of the world are numerous, specific, and astonishing as to the magnitude of the phenomena.

One of the oldest reports, brief but with a humorous touch, I quote first. It is by Lieut. John Harriott,⁵² who says:

In a heavy shower of rain, while our army was on the march a short distance from Pondicherry, a quantity of small fish fell with the rain, to the astonishment of all. Many of them lodged on the men's hats. * * * They were not flying fish, they were dead and falling from the well-known effect of gravity; but how they ascended or where they existed I do not pretend to account. I merely relate the simple fact.

A very valuable account of a shower of fishes is that by J. Prinsep, editor of the Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal. He writes:⁵³

The phenomenon of fish falling from the sky in the rainy season, however incredible it may appear, has been attested by such circumstantial evidence that no reasonable doubt can be entertained of the fact. I was as incredulous as my neighbors until I once found a small fish in the brass funnel of my pluviometer at Benares. I have now before me a note of a similar phenomenon, on a considerable scale, which happened at the Nokulhatty factory, Zillah Dacca Jedalpur, in 1830.

Mr. Cameron, who communicated the fact, took the precaution of having a regular deposition of the evidence of several natives who had witnessed the fall made in Bengalee and attested before the magistrate; the statement is well worthy of preservation in a journal of science. * * * The shower of fish took place on the 19th of February, 1830, in the neighborhood of the Surbundy factory, Feridpoor (p. 650).

There are depositions of nine eye witnesses, of which I quote two:

Shekh Chaudari Ahmed, son of Mutiullah, inhabitant of Nagdi, relates in his deposition: "I had been doing my work at a meadow, where I perceived at the hour of 12 o'clock the sky gather clouds, and began to rain slightly, then a large fish touching my back by its head fell on the ground. Being surprised I looked about, and behold a number of fish likewise fell from heaven. They were saul, saw, guzal, mirgal, and bodul. I took 10 or 11 fish in number, and I saw many other persons take many."

Shekh Suduruddin, inhabitant of Nagdi, was called in and declared in his deposition saying: "On Friday, at 12 o'clock p. m., in the month of Phalgun * * * when I was at work in a field, I perceived the sky darkened by clouds, began to rain a little and a large fish fell from the sky. I was confounded at the sight, and soon entered my small cottage, which I had there, but I came out again as soon as the rain had ceased and found every part of my hut scattered with fish; they were bodul, mirgal, and nouchi, and amounted to 25 in number."

The large number of fishes that may rain down is illustrated by another Indian instance which was reported as follows:⁵⁴

On the 16th or 17th of May last a fall of fish happened in monza Sonare, pergunna Dhata Ekdullah, Zillah Futteppur. The zemindars

⁵² Harriott, John. Struggles through Life, 1809, v. 1, pp. 141-142.

⁵³ [Prinsep], J. Fall of fish from the sky. Jour., Asiatic society of Bengal, 1833, 2: 650-652.

⁵⁴ Jour., Asiatic soc. of Bengal, 1834, 3: 267.

of the village have furnished the following particulars which are confirmed by other accounts. About noon, the wind being from the west, and a few distant clouds visible, a blast of high wind, accompanied with much dust, which changed the atmosphere to a reddish yellow hue, came on; the blast appeared to extend in breadth about 400 yards. * * * When the storm had passed over, they found the ground south of the village to the extent of two bigahs strewn with fish, in number not less than three or four thousand. The fish were all of the Chalwa species (*Chupea cultrata*), a span or less in length, and from $1\frac{1}{2}$ to $\frac{1}{2}$ seer in weight; when found they were all dead and dry. Chalwa fish are found in the tanks and rivers of the neighborhood; * * * the nearest water is about half a mile south of the village.

For the number of fishes that fell this account is not surpassed, but for all-around interest, and credulity inspired by the name of its distinguished author, the testimony of Francis de Castelnau, mentioned at the beginning of this paper, is supreme. The note is entitled "Shower of Fishes; earthquake at Singapore," and was published in 1861.⁵⁵

We experienced here an earthquake at 7:34 p. m., February 16, that lasted about two minutes; it was followed by hard rains, which on the 20th, 21st, and 22d became veritable torrents. The last day at 9 a. m. the rain redoubled in fury, and in a half hour our inclosed plot became a sea of water * * *.

At 10 o'clock the sun lifted and from my window I saw a large number of Malays and Chinese filling baskets with fishes which they picked up in the pools of water which covered the ground. On being asked where the fishes came from, the natives replied that they had fallen from the sky. Three days afterwards, when the pools had dried up, we found many dead fishes.

Having examined the animals, I recognized them as *Clarias batrachus*, Cuvier and Valenciennes, a species of catfish which is very abundant in fresh water in Singapore, and the nearer Malayan Islands, in Siam, Borneo, etc. They were from 25 to 30 centimeters long, and therefore adult.

These siluroids, the same as *Ophicephalus*, etc., are able to live a long time out of water, and to progress some distance on land, and I thought at once that they had come from some small streams near by; but the yard of the house I inhabited is inclosed in a wall that would prevent them entering in this manner. An old Malay has since told me that in his youth he had seen a similar phenomenon.

Other vertebrates.—Showers of vertebrates other than frogs, toads, and fishes are rare indeed. It was recorded in 1873 that a shower of reptiles fell in Minnesota,⁵⁶ and from the description it is evident the creatures were larvæ of a salamander, probably of *Amblystoma tigrinum*. The MONTHLY WEATHER REVIEW for May, 1894 (p. 215) states that during a severe hailstorm "at Boving, 8 miles east of Vicksburg, Miss., a gopher turtle 6 by 8 inches and entirely incased in ice fell with the hail."

This is a most remarkable occurrence, but what shall we say of a shower of birds, in which hundreds dropped dead in the streets of a Louisiana city? In the Baton Rouge, La., correspondence of the Philadelphia Times, some time in 1896, it is stated⁵⁷ that—

On Friday morning last early risers in the little capital [Baton Rouge, La.] witnessed a peculiar sight in the shape of a shower of birds that fell from a clear sky, literally cluttering the streets of the city. There were wild ducks, catbirds, woodpeckers, and many birds of strange plumage, some of them resembling canaries, but all dead, falling in heaps along the thoroughfares, the singular phenomenon attracting many spectators and causing much comment.

The most plausible theory as to the strange windfall is that the birds were driven inland by the recent storm on the Florida coast, the force of the current of air and the sudden change of temperature causing death to many of the feathered creatures when they reached Baton Rouge. Some idea of the extent of the shower may be gathered from the estimate that out on National Avenue alone the children of the neighborhood collected 200 birds.

This seems clearly not to have been a case of migrants becoming confused by city lights, nor killing themselves by flying against obstacles, mishaps which rather frequently occur to bird travelers. The phenomenon of migration among mammals gives rise to the only story of a

shower of those animals that I have seen. It is given by Charles Tomlinson, who writes:⁵⁸

In some countries rats migrate in vast numbers from the high to the low countries; and it is recorded in the history of Norway that a shower of these, transported by the wind, fell in an adjacent valley.

I have not seen the original of this tale, but it may have been prompted by the appearance, in large numbers, of lemmings which frequently migrate in hordes in Scandinavia. It is possible, of course, that during one of these migratory movements some of the animals were transported by a violent wind and precipitated as "a shower of rats."

WIND AS A DISTRIBUTING AGENT.

We have reviewed instances of the rain-like fall of various animal and plant bodies, of pollen, of hay, of diatoms, algæ, rotifers, of insects, frogs, toads, fishes, salamanders, turtles, birds, and rats. It remains to inquire what significance, if any, these phenomena have for the distribution of living things upon the earth.

Vertebrates.—In the case of vertebrates distribution by wind transport must be of practically no importance. Mammals and birds thus snatched up by the wind, if carried any distance, arrive dead. Batrachians also often are killed, and if not, usually must be carried for short distances only; the chances are also that they will reach an unfavorable environment and perish for that reason. Fishes, most of all, are fated to fall where they can not survive, and their inability to live long out of water strictly limits the possibilities of their deriving advantage by wind transport. In addition, it must be remembered that in all these groups instances of their being carried by the wind are really rare. All in all, we must conclude that the wind is a very unimportant factor in the distribution of vertebrate animals.

Plant seeds.—In the case of most seed-producing plants, although hundreds of species have seeds modified for wind transport, it has not been shown that they are especially successful in making rapid strides in distribution. Kerner says:⁵⁹

The distance to which specially adapted seeds may be carried by the wind is considerable, but in ordinary course is not attained. * * * Among the numerous species of fruits and seeds obtained from snow fields and glaciers high in the Alps not one was derived from a distant district.

Vogler,⁶⁰ who made a special study of the means of distribution of alpine plants, found that instances of spread by the wind to distances of from 3 to 40 kilometers were not rare, while "transport of seeds * * * to great distances, even hundreds of kilometers * * * is possible, but in the actual distribution of plants plays a minor rôle."

Spores, etc.—When we come to consider, however, the distribution of plants and animals that have spores, eggs, statoblasts, or other minute but resistant resting stages, it is apparent that winds are their most important means of spread.

The United States Bureau of Entomology has shown that winds are important in the distribution of mites (McGregor, E. A., & McDonough, F. L., Dept. Agr. Bul. 416, 1917, pp. 31-32), and the gipsy-moth (Collins, C. W., Dept. Agr. Bul. 273, 1915). Small larvæ of the last-named pest were found to be carried 13½ miles by ordinary winds. For a typical exposition of the agency of wind in distributing fungus spores, see Journ. agric. research, Washington, March, 1915, 3:493-525.

Reflect what opportunities are offered to the wind in every dry basin left by the evaporation of shallow pools. The drying of the water stimulates all the organisms to

⁵⁵ Comptes Rendus, 1861, 52:880-881.

⁵⁶ Am. sportsman, Oct. 11, 1873, No. 2, 3: 22.

⁵⁷ Gazette, San Jose, Cal., Nov. 4, 1896.

⁵⁸ Tomlinson, Charles. "The rain cloud and the snowstorm," p. 194.

⁵⁹ Kerner. Natural history of plants, vol. 2, 1895, p. 861. Transl.

⁶⁰ Vogler. Flora, 1901, 59: 95.

produce their encysted forms. The bottom is crusted with matted algæ bearing their own spores or oögonia, resting stages which long retain their vitality and which are ready at any time to profit by wind transport. Among the algæ there may be flagellates, bacteria, diatoms, the spores of aquatic mosses, of horsetails, of club mosses, and quillworts, and the minute seeds of rushes. There may be also cysts of protozoa, gemmules of fresh-water sponges, the statoblasts of bryozoa, and the eggs of worms, leeches, crustacea, insects, and molluscs, all of which may be minute enough to be carried readily by the wind and resistant enough to survive the process. Untold numbers of these reproductive bodies may be gathered up by the wind and carried long distances. This goes far to explain the extremely wide, often cosmopolitan distribution of fresh water microorganisms.

It is not only aquatic organisms that have spores suitable for carriage by the wind, but also a long series of terrestrial ones including bacteria, algæ, fungi, mosses, liverworts, ferns, and club mosses. Dust-like seeds as those of orchids, broom-rapes, pyrolas, live-for-evers, etc., are almost as well adapted to wind transport as are spores. Perhaps the best illustration that can be given of the potency of the wind in distributing these plants is the part it played in the revegetation of the isolated volcanic island Krakatoa from which all life was extirpated by the 1883 eruption of almost unparalleled violence. From 16 to 30 per cent of the phanerogams established on Krakatoa 25 years after the catastrophe of 1883 were carried there by winds, as were all of the ferns (16 species) and lower cryptogams, almost without exception (more than 30 species). Between 49 and 63 per cent of its flora, therefore, is wind-borne. The first recolonization of the island in 1886 was entirely by wind-distributed species as algæ, bacteria, diatoms, liverworts, mosses, and ferns.⁶¹

The distribution of spores and other light reproductive cells does not depend on sporadic gusts of wind that suddenly pick up a quantity of these objects to later drop them as showers of organisms; there seem to be a certain number of them always in the atmosphere. In fact, aeroscopes reveal a steady fall of atmospheric dust, including minute organisms, that must be a far more important element in the distribution of such life than the more impressive but sporadic showers.

CONCLUSION.

It would appear, therefore, that the more spectacular the shower of organic matter the less its importance in the distribution of life. The rains of larger animals have attracted much attention and excited wonder, but in many cases the animals have been dead; in others they were doomed to die because of falling in an unsuitable environment. Not often are all the conditions propitious for the species to secure a new foothold.

The unobtrusive, but steady and widespread movement of minute eggs and spores by the atmosphere, however, is of great importance in distribution because these organic bodies are adapted to survive such transport; their numbers are so great and their dispersal so wide that some of them will necessarily fall in favorable places. The chances are, in fact, that every suitable environment will be populated. So far as mere preservation of species is concerned, we see here, as in other phases of biological investigation, the superiority of the pigmy over the giant, of insignificance over conspicuousness, of passivity and adaptability over strenuous effort. "Blessed are the meek, for they shall inherit the earth."

RECORDS AT THE ABBE METEOROLOGICAL OBSERVATORY COMPARED WITH THOSE AT THE GOVERNMENT BUILDING, CINCINNATI.

551.501 (771)

By WILLIAM CHARLES DEVEREAUX, Meteorologist.

[Abbe Meteorological Observatory, Lafayette Circle, Cincinnati, Ohio, Apr. 11, 1917.]

During the 24 months from April 1, 1915, to March 31, 1917, both inclusive, complete weather records were made at the Government Building, Cincinnati, and at the Abbe Meteorological Observatory maintained by the United States Weather Bureau at Lafayette Circle, Clifton, Cincinnati, Ohio. The following pages present a discussion of these comparative observations.

LOCATIONS OF EXPOSURES.

The Government Building, Cincinnati, is located 900 yards from the Ohio River, which forms the southern boundary of the city, and is near the center of the principal business section. (See fig. 1). Moderately high hills form a semicircle around the business section, which lies on the comparatively level ground between the hills and the river. The Government Building stands some distance east of the center of the semicircle of inclosing hills; the nearest hill is northeast from the building, the ground beginning to rise rapidly within a distance of half a mile and reaching an altitude of 247 feet above the ground at the Government Building, or of 800 feet above sea level. The hills to the north are distant $\frac{1}{2}$ mile, to the west 2 miles, to the southwest in Kentucky $1\frac{1}{2}$ miles, and to the south $2\frac{1}{4}$ miles from the Government Building. The highest of these hills lies between 800 and 900 feet above sealevel.

The elevations of the instruments above the ground at the Government building are as follows: Barometer, 74 feet; raingage, 145 feet; thermometers, 152 feet; anemometer, 160 feet; and wind vane, 161 feet. Within a radius of 600 feet and from a point directly southwest to a point a little east of south, there are buildings considerably higher than the wind vane. To the east, north, and west the buildings are about the same height as the wind vane. The general surroundings are illustrated in figures 2 and 3.

The Abbe Meteorological Observatory is located on Lafayette Circle, in the suburb of Clifton, and is near the geographic center of the city. This is not the highest point in the city but is one of the highest, and the nearest hill of the same elevation is about 2 miles distant. The visible horizon of the Observatory is nearly level on all sides. The ground slopes away from the Observatory in all directions, and is mostly covered with trees. The only buildings in the vicinity of the Observatory are residences. The elevations of the instruments above ground are as follows: Barometer, 5.8 feet; raingage, 3.1 feet; thermometers, 10.8 feet; anemometer, 50.8 feet; and wind vane, 52.2 feet. (See figs. 1, 4, and 5.)

WIND RECORD.

In order to tabulate in detail the direction and velocity of the wind, a new form was prepared which shows the number of hours the wind blows from each direction, and the velocity of the wind from each direction. By the use of this form the percentage of time the wind blew from each direction and the mean velocity for each direction were obtained for each month, season, and the two years. Table 1 presents the form secured for March, 1916.

⁶¹ Ernst, A. The new flora of the volcanic island of Krakatau (Engl. transl. by A. C. Seward, 1908), pp. 60-68.